

New super-quadratic conditions for asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation ^{*}

X. H. Tang

School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Central South University,
Changsha, Hunan 410083, P.R.China
E-mail: tangxh@mail.csu.edu.cn

Abstract: This paper is dedicated to studying the semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where f is a superlinear, subcritical nonlinearity. It focuses on the case where $V(x) = V_0(x) + V_1(x)$, $V_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $V_0(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ and $\sup[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (-\infty, 0)] < 0 < \inf[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (0, \infty)]$, $V_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_1(x) = 0$. A new super-quadratic condition is obtained, which is weaker than some well known results.

Keywords: Schrödinger equation; Superlinear; Asymptotically periodic; Ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20; 35J60

1 Introduction

Consider the following semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are asymptotically periodic in x , moreover f is super linear as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$.

When $V(x)$ and $f(x, u)$ are periodic in x , and satisfy the following basic assumptions, respectively:

(V) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $V(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ and

$$\sup[\sigma(-\Delta + V) \cap (-\infty, 0)] < 0 < \bar{\Lambda} := \inf[\sigma(-\Delta + V) \cap (0, \infty)]; \quad (1.2)$$

^{*}This work is partially supported by the NNSF (No: 11171351) and the SRFDP(No: 20120162110021) of China

(F1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$, and there exist constants $p \in (2, 2^*)$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C_0 (1 + |t|^{p-1}), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R};$$

(F2) $f(x, t) = o(|t|)$, as $|t| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \geq 0$;

(F3) $f(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$;

the existence of a nontrivial solution for (1.1) has been widely investigated in literature, for example, see [4, 5, 12, 13, 20, 28, 30] and references cited therein. In these papers, a classical existence condition is (AR) which is due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2]:

(AR) there exists a $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 < \mu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}).$$

(AR) is a very convenient hypothesis since it readily achieves mountain pass geometry as well as satisfaction of the Palais-Smale condition. However, it is a severe restriction, since it strictly controls the growth of $f(x, t)$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. In recent years, there are some papers devoted to replace (AR) with weaker conditions. For example, Liu and Wang [18] first introduced a more natural super-quadratic condition:

(SQ) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(x, t)|}{|t|^2} = \infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Subsequently, it has been commonly used in many recent papers, see [7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 19, 22, 31]. However, to some extent, the condition (SQ) also has its own limitation, for it is not sufficient to guarantee (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

Later, in 2006, Ding and Lee [7] gave a more mild existence condition:

(DL) $\mathcal{F}(x, t) := \frac{1}{2} t f(x, t) - F(x, t) > 0$ if $t \neq 0$, and there exist $c_0 > 0$, $r_0 > 0$ and $\kappa > \max\{1, N/2\}$ such that

$$|f(x, t)|^\kappa \leq c_0 \mathcal{F}(x, t) |t|^\kappa, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \quad |t| \geq r_0.$$

Under the assumption (F1), the condition (DL) greatly weakens (AR). Soon after, it was generalized in various directions and applied to more general equations or systems by numerous authors, see e.g. [3, 22, 24, 32, 34].

In paper [21], Szulkin and Weth developed an ingenious approach to find the ground state solutions for problem (1.1). They demonstrated that (SQ) together with the following Nehari type assumption (Ne) implies (1.1) has a ground state solution.

(Ne) $t \mapsto f(x, t)/|t|$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$.

Based on Szulkin and Weth [21], Liu [17] showed that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution by using the following weak version (WN) instead of (Ne):

(WN) $t \mapsto f(x, t)/|t|$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$.

In a very recent paper [23], Tang introduced new super-quadratic conditions as follows:

(WS) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(x, t)|}{|t|^2} = \infty$, *a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

(Ta) there exists a $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1 - \theta^2}{2} t f(x, t) \geq \int_{\theta t}^t f(x, s) ds = F(x, t) - F(x, \theta t), \quad \forall \theta \in [0, \theta_0], \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly, (WS) is slightly weaker than (SQ). Besides, (Ta) improves (AR), (WN) and a weak version of (AR) (see [23]):

(WAR) there exists a $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 \leq \mu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Motivated by the aforementioned works, in the periodic case, we first weaken (DL) to the following condition (i.e. $\mathcal{F}(x, t) > 0, t \neq 0$ to $\mathcal{F}(x, t) \geq 0$):

(F4) $\mathcal{F}(x, t) \geq 0$, and there exist $c_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 \in (0, \bar{\Lambda})$ and $\kappa > \max\{1, N/2\}$ such that

$$\frac{f(x, t)}{t} \geq \bar{\Lambda} - \delta_0 \Rightarrow \left[\frac{f(x, t)}{t} \right]^\kappa \leq c_0 \mathcal{F}(x, t).$$

Clearly, (WAR) and (DL) yield (F4). What we do notice, though, is that we can't verify that (WN) implies (F4), it is very difficult to find a function f which satisfies (F2) and (WN) but not (F4). Before presenting our first result, we give two nonlinear examples to illustrate the assumption (F4).

Example 1.1. Let $F(x, t) = t^2 \ln[1 + t^2 \sin^2(2\pi x_1)]$. Then

$$f(x, t) = 2t \ln[1 + t^2 \sin^2(2\pi x_1)] + \frac{2t^3 \sin^2(2\pi x_1)}{1 + t^2 \sin^2(2\pi x_1)}, \quad \mathcal{F}(x, t) = \frac{t^4 \sin^2(2\pi x_1)}{1 + t^2 \sin^2(2\pi x_1)} \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that f does not satisfy (AR), (SQ), (WAR) and (DL), but it satisfies (WS) and (F4) with $\kappa > \max\{1, N/2\}$.

Example 1.2. Let $N \leq 4$ and

$$F(x, t) = a \left(|t|^{13/4} - \frac{5}{2}|t|^{11/4} + \frac{45}{16}|t|^{9/4} \right), \quad a > 0.$$

Then

$$f(x, t) = a \left(\frac{13}{4}|t|^{5/4} - \frac{55}{8}|t|^{3/4} + \frac{405}{64}|t|^{1/4} \right) t,$$

$$\mathcal{F}(x, t) = \frac{5}{8}a|t|^{9/4} \left(\sqrt{|t|} - \frac{3}{4} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

Similarly, f does not satisfy (AR), (WN), (WAR), (DL) and (Ta), but it satisfies (SQ) and (F4) with $\kappa = 12/5$ if $a \in (0, 64\bar{\Lambda}/405)$.

We are now in a position to state the first result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that V and f satisfy (V), (F1), (F2), (F3), (F4) and (WS). Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.*

When $V(x)$ is positive and asymptotically periodic, there are considerably fewer results; here we mention [1, 15, 33]. In this case, the spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta + V) \subset (0, \infty)$. Comparing with appropriate solutions of a periodic problem associated with (1.1), a nontrivial solution was found by using a version of the mountain pass theorem.

When $V(x)$ is periodic and sign-changing, while $f(x, u)$ asymptotically periodic in x , there seems to be only one result [13]. Let Φ_0 and Φ denote the energy functionals associated with problem (1.1) with periodic and asymptotically periodic nonlinearity f , respectively. According to a generalized linking theorem for the strongly indefinite functionals, by comparing with (C)-sequences of Φ_0 and Φ , Li and Szuklin [13] proved that problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution if V and f satisfy assumptions (V) and (F1), (F2), (AR) and the following asymptotically periodic condition:

(F5) $f(x, t) = f_0(x, t) + f_1(x, t)$, $\partial_t f_0, f_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$, $f_0(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$; and f_0 and f_1 satisfy that

$$0 < t f_0(x, t) < t^2 \partial_t f_0(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}),$$

$$0 < F_0(x, t) := \int_0^t f_0(x, s) ds \leq \frac{1}{\mu} t f_0(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}),$$

$$F_1(x, t) := \int_0^t f_1(x, s) ds > 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$$

and

$$|f_1(x, t)| \leq a(x) (|t| + |t|^{p-1}), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mu > 2$ is the same as in (AR), $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x) = 0$.

In the following, we will point out that the assumption that $f_0(x, t)$ is differentiable in t and $0 < tf_0(x, t) < t^2 \partial_t f_0(x, t)$ in (F5) (which implies that $t \mapsto f_0(x, t)/|t|$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$) is very crucial in Li and Szulkin [13].

If $V(x)$ is both asymptotically periodic and sign-changing, the operator $-\Delta + V$ loses the $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -translation invariance. For this reason, many effective methods for periodic problems cannot be applied to asymptotically periodic ones, and they all failed. To the best of our knowledge, there are no existence results for (1.1) when $V(x)$ is asymptotically periodic and sign-changing. Motivated by the works [7, 13, 15, 25, 26, 27, 33], we shall find new tricks to overcome the difficulties caused by the dropping of periodicity of $V(x)$.

Before presenting our second theorem, we make the following assumptions instead of (V) and (F5), respectively.

(V1) $V(x) = V_0(x) + V_1(x)$, $V_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\sup[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (-\infty, 0)] < 0 < \bar{\Lambda} := \inf[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (0, \infty)], \quad (1.3)$$

$V_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_1(x) = 0$;

(V2) $V_0(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$, and

$$0 \leq -V_1(x) \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N}[-V_1(x)] < \bar{\Lambda}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

(F5') $f(x, t) = f_0(x, t) + f_1(x, t)$, $f_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$, $f(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$, $f_0(x, t) = o(|t|)$, as $t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \mapsto f_0(x, t)/|t|$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f_0(x, t)|}{|t|^2} = \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$; $f_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies that

$$-V_1(x)t^2 + F_1(x, t) > 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$$

and

$$F_1(x, t) \geq 0, \quad |f_1(x, t)| \leq a(x) (|t| + |t|^{p-1}), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x) = 0$.

Remark 1.4. Compare (F5) with (F5'), it is dropped that $f_0(x, t)$ is differentiable in t , moreover, the condition that $t \mapsto f_0(x, t)/|t|$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ is weaken to non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$; and that f_0 satisfies (AR) is also weaken to (WS).

We are now in a position to state the second result of this paper.

Theorem 1.5. Assume that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), (F4) and (F5') are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary results are presented. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 are given in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

Let X be a real Hilbert space with $X = X^- \oplus X^+$ and $X^- \perp X^+$. For a functional $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, φ is said to be weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous if for any $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X one has $\varphi(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u_n)$, and φ' is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi'(u_n), v \rangle = \langle \varphi'(u), v \rangle$ for each $v \in X$.

Lemma 2.1. ([12, 13]) *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Hilbert space with $X = X^- \oplus X^+$ and $X^- \perp X^+$, and let $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ of the form*

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\|u^+\|^2 - \|u^-\|^2) - \psi(u), \quad u = u^- + u^+ \in X^- \oplus X^+.$$

Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied:

(KS1) $\psi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below and weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous;

(KS2) ψ' is weakly sequentially continuous;

(KS3) there exist $r > \rho > 0$ and $e \in X^+$ with $\|e\| = 1$ such that

$$\kappa := \inf \varphi(S_\rho^+) > \sup \varphi(\partial Q),$$

where

$$S_\rho^+ = \{u \in X^+ : \|u\| = \rho\}, \quad Q = \{v + se : v \in X^-, s \geq 0, \|v + se\| \leq r\}.$$

Then there exist a constant $c \in [\kappa, \sup \varphi(Q)]$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \|\varphi'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = -\Delta + V_0$. Then $\mathcal{A}_0$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with domain $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [11, Theorem 4.26]). Let $\{\mathcal{E}(\lambda) : -\infty \leq \lambda \leq +\infty\}$ and $|\mathcal{A}_0|$ be the spectral family and the absolute value of $\mathcal{A}_0$, respectively, and $|\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2}$ be the square root of $|\mathcal{A}_0|$. Set $\mathcal{U} = id - \mathcal{E}(0) - \mathcal{E}(0-)$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ commutes with $\mathcal{A}_0$, $|\mathcal{A}_0|$ and $|\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2}$, and $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{U}|\mathcal{A}_0|$ is the polar decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_0$ (see [10, Theorem IV 3.3]). Let

$$E = \mathfrak{D}(|\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2}), \quad E^- = \mathcal{E}(0)E, \quad E^+ = [id - \mathcal{E}(0)]E. \quad (2.1)$$

For any $u \in E$, it is easy to see that $u = u^- + u^+$, where

$$u^- := \mathcal{E}(0)u \in E^-, \quad u^+ := [id - \mathcal{E}(0)]u \in E^+ \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_0 u^- = -|\mathcal{A}_0|u^-, \quad \mathcal{A}_0 u^+ = |\mathcal{A}_0|u^+, \quad \forall u \in E \cap \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_0). \quad (2.3)$$

Define an inner product

$$(u, v) = \left(|\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2} u, |\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2} v \right)_{L^2}, \quad u, v \in E \quad (2.4)$$

and the corresponding norm

$$\|u\| = \left\| |\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2} u \right\|_2, \quad u \in E, \quad (2.5)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ denotes the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\|\cdot\|_s$ denotes the norm of $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (V1), $E = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with equivalent norms. Therefore, E embeds continuously in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq s \leq 2^*$. In addition, one has the decomposition $E = E^- \oplus E^+$ orthogonal with respect to both $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Under assumptions (V1), (F1) and (F2), the solutions of problem (1.1) are critical points of the functional

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u \in E, \quad (2.6)$$

Φ is of class $C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (2.7)$$

Let

$$\Phi_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_0(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_0(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u \in E, \quad (2.8)$$

Then Φ_0 is also of class $C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\langle \Phi'_0(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V_0(x)uv) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_0(x, u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (2.9)$$

In view of (2.3) and (2.5), we have

$$\Phi_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\|u^+\|^2 - \|u^-\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_0(x, u) dx \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\langle \Phi'_0(u), u \rangle = \|u^+\|^2 - \|u^-\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_0(x, u)u dx, \quad \forall u = u^- + u^+ \in E. \quad (2.11)$$

We set

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-V_1(x)u^2 + F(x, u)] dx, \quad \forall u \in E. \quad (2.12)$$

Employing a standard argument, one can easily verify the following fact:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then Ψ is nonnegative, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous, and Ψ' is weakly sequentially continuous.*

3 The periodic case

In this section, we assume that V and f are 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$, i.e., (V) and (F3) are satisfied. In this case, $V_0 = V$, $V_1 = 0$, $f_0 = f$ and $f_1 = 0$. Thus, $\Phi_0(u) = \Phi(u)$.

Lemma 3.1. ([26, Lemma 2.4]) *Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2) and (WN) are satisfied. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq \Phi(tu + w) + \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + \frac{1-t^2}{2}\langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle - t\langle \Phi'(u), w \rangle, \\ &\quad \forall u \in E, \quad t \geq 0, \quad w \in E^-. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{N}^- = \{u \in E \setminus E^- : \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in E^-\}. \quad (3.2)$$

The set $\mathcal{N}^-$ was first introduced by Pankov [19], which is a subset of the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N} = \{u \in E \setminus \{0\} : \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle = 0\}$.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2) and (WN) are satisfied. Then for $u \in \mathcal{N}^-$*

$$\Phi(u) \geq \Phi(tu + w) + \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad w \in E^-. \quad (3.3)$$

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2) and (WN) are satisfied. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, tu^+) dx + \frac{1-t^2}{2}\langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle + t^2\langle \Phi'(u), u^- \rangle, \\ &\quad \forall u \in E, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Analogous to the proof of [23, Lemma 3.3], it is easy to show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2) and (WS) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c > 0$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying*

$$\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \|\Phi'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that (V), (F1), (F2), (F3), (F4) and (WS) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying*

$$\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c \geq 0, \quad \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n^\pm \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.6)$$

is bounded in E .

Proof. In view of (3.6), there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_2 \geq \Phi(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(x, u_n) dx. \quad (3.7)$$

To prove the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_n = u_n/\|u_n\|$. Then $1 = \|v_n\|^2$. If $\delta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |v_n^+|^2 dx = 0$, then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [16] or [29, Lemma 1.21], $v_n^+ \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < s < 2^*$. Set $\kappa' = \kappa/(\kappa - 1)$ and

$$\Omega_n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} \leq \bar{\Lambda} - \delta_0 \right\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then using $\bar{\Lambda} \|v_n^+\|_2^2 \leq \|v_n^+\|^2$, one has

$$\int_{\Omega_n} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} (v_n^+)^2 dx \leq (\bar{\Lambda} - \delta_0) \|v_n^+\|_2^2 \leq 1 - \frac{\delta_0}{\bar{\Lambda}}. \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (F4), (3.7) and the Hölder inequality, one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_n} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} (v_n^+)^2 dx &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_n} \left| \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} \right|^\kappa dx \right]^{1/\kappa} \|v_n^+\|_{2\kappa'}^2 \\ &\leq C_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_n} \mathcal{F}(x, u_n) dx \right)^{1/\kappa} \|v_n^+\|_{2\kappa'}^2 \\ &\leq C_3 \|v_n^+\|_{2\kappa'}^2 = o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

$\mathcal{F}(x, u) \geq 0$ implies that $uf(x, u) \geq 0$. Hence, combining (3.9) with (3.10) and making use of (2.7) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + o(1) &= \frac{\|u_n\|^2 - \langle \Phi(u_n), u_n^+ - u_n^- \rangle}{\|u_n\|^2} \\ &= \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} [(v_n^+)^2 - (v_n^-)^2] dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} (v_n^+)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_n} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} (v_n^+)^2 dx \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{\delta_0}{\bar{\Lambda}} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta > 0$.

Going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_n)} |v_n^+|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_n(x) = v_n(x + k_n)$. Since $V(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$. Then $\|w_n\| = \|v_n\| = 1$, and

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |w_n^+|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_n \rightharpoonup w$ in E , $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$, $w_n \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Obviously, (3.11) implies that $w \neq 0$.

Now we define $u_n^{k_n}(x) = u_n(x + k_n)$, then $u_n^{k_n}/\|u_n\| = w_n \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$, $w \neq 0$. For $x \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : w(y) \neq 0\}$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n^{k_n}(x)| = \infty$. Hence, it follows from (2.6), (3.6),

(F2), (F3), (WS) and Fatou's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c + o(1)}{\|u_n\|^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\|v_n^+\|^2 - \|v_n^-\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_n^{k_n})}{(u_n^{k_n})^2} w_n^2 dx \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_n^{k_n})}{(u_n^{k_n})^2} w_n^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, u_n^{k_n})}{(u_n^{k_n})^2} w_n^2 dx = -\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. ([27, Theorem 1.2]) *Assume that (V), (F1), (F2), (F3), (WN) and (WS) are satisfied. Then problem (1.1) has a solution $u_0 \in E$ such that $\Phi(u_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}^-} \Phi > 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Combining Lemma 3.4 with Lemma 3.5, we can get that there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying (3.5). Now the usual concentration-compactness argument suggests that $\Phi'(\bar{u}) = 0$ for some $\bar{u} \in E \setminus \{0\}$. $\square$

4 The asymptotically periodic case

In this section, we always assume that V satisfies (V1) and (V2).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1) and (F2) are satisfied. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$\hat{\kappa} := \inf \{ \Phi(u) : u \in E^+, \|u\| = \rho \} > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Set $\Theta_0 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-V_1(x)]$. Let $\varepsilon_0 = (\bar{\Lambda} - \Theta_0)/3$. Then (F1) and (F2) imply that there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon_0} > 0$ such that

$$F(x, t) \leq \varepsilon_0 |t|^2 + C_{\varepsilon_0} |t|^p, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

From (2.6), (4.2) and the Sobolev imbedding inequalities $\|u\|_p \leq \gamma_p \|u\|$ and $\bar{\Lambda} \|u\|_2^2 \leq \|u\|^2$ for $u \in E^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} [\|u\|^2 - (\Theta_0 + 2\varepsilon_0) \|u\|_2^2] - C_{\varepsilon_0} \|u\|_p^p \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\Theta_0 + 2\varepsilon_0}{\bar{\Lambda}} \right) \|u\|^2 - \gamma_p^p C_{\varepsilon_0} \|u\|^p, \quad \forall u \in E^+.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

This shows that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that (4.1) holds.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2) and (WS) are satisfied. Then for any $e \in E^+$, $\sup \Phi(E^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ e) < \infty$, and there is $R_e > 0$ such that*

$$\Phi(u) \leq 0, \quad \forall u \in E^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ e, \quad \|u\| \geq R_e. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Arguing indirectly, provided that for some sequence $\{w_n + s_n e\} \subset E^- \oplus \mathbb{R} e$ with $\|w_n + s_n e\| \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\Phi(w_n + s_n e) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $v_n = (w_n + s_n e)/\|w_n + s_n e\| = v_n^- + t_n e$, then $\|v_n^- + t_n e\| = 1$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_n \rightarrow \bar{t}$, $v_n^- \rightarrow v^-$, and $v_n^- \rightarrow v^-$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, it follows from (V2) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\Phi(w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|^2} \\ &= \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^-\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) (v_n^- + t_n e)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|^2} dx \\ &\leq \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^-\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|^2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

If $\bar{t} = 0$, then it follows from (4.5) that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^-\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|^2} dx \leq \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields $\|v_n^-\| \rightarrow 0$, and so $1 = \|v_n^- + t_n e\|^2 \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.

If $\bar{t} \neq 0$, then it follows from (4.5) and (WS) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^-\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|^2} dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} \|e\|^2 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{(w_n + s_n e)^2} (v_n^- + t_n e)^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} \|e\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{(w_n + s_n e)^2} (v_n^- + t_n e)^2 dx \\ &= -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2) and (WS) are satisfied. Let $e \in E^+$ with $\|e\| = 1$. Then there is a $r > \rho$ such that $\sup \Phi(\partial Q) \leq 0$, where*

$$Q = \{w + se : w \in E^-, s \geq 0, \|w + se\| \leq r\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let $m_0 := \inf_{\mathcal{N}^0} \Phi_0$, where

$$\mathcal{N}^0 = \{u \in E \setminus E^- : \langle \Phi'_0(u), u \rangle = \langle \Phi'_0(u), v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in E^-\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2) and (F5') are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c_* \in [\hat{\kappa}, m_0)$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying*

$$\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c_*, \quad \|\Phi'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Employing Lemma 3.6, there exists a $\bar{u} \in E$ such that $\bar{u} \neq 0$ on $B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)$, $\Phi'_0(\bar{u}) = 0$ and $\Phi_0(\bar{u}) = m_0$. Set $\hat{E}(\bar{u}) = E^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ \bar{u}$ and $\zeta_0 := \sup\{\Phi(u) : u \in \hat{E}(\bar{u})\}$. Lemma 4.1 implies that $\zeta_0 \geq \hat{\kappa} > 0$. By (V2), (F5'), (2.6), (2.8) and Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\Phi(u) \leq \Phi_0(u) \leq m_0, \quad \forall u \in \hat{E}(\bar{u}). \quad (4.9)$$

Hence, $\zeta_0 \leq m_0$. If $\zeta_0 = m_0$, then there is a sequence $\{u_n\}$ with $u_n = w_n + s_n \bar{u} \in \hat{E}(\bar{u})$ such that

$$m_0 - \frac{1}{n} < \Phi(u_n) = \Phi(w_n + s_n \bar{u}) \leq m_0. \quad (4.10)$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 and (4.10) that $\{s_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\{w_n\} \subset E^-$ are bounded. Passing to a subsequence, we have $s_n \rightarrow \bar{s}$ and $w_n \rightharpoonup \bar{w}$ in E . It is easy to see that $\bar{s} > 0$. It follows from (2.6), (2.8) and Corollary 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 - \frac{1}{n} &< \Phi(u_n) = \Phi_0(u_n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u_n^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1(x, u_n) dx \\ &\leq m_0 - \frac{1}{2} \|w_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-V_1(x) u_n^2 + 2F_1(x, u_n)] dx, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|w_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-V_1(x) (w_n + s_n \bar{u})^2 + 2F_1(x, w_n + s_n \bar{u})] dx \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (4.11)$$

According to Fatou's lemma and the weakly lower semi-continuous of the norm, one gets that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\bar{w}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-V_1(x) (\bar{w} + \bar{s} \bar{u})^2 + 2F_1(x, \bar{w} + \bar{s} \bar{u})] dx = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

This, together with (F5'), implies that $\bar{w} = 0$ and $\bar{u} = 0$ on $B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\zeta_0 \in [\hat{\kappa}, m_0)$. In view of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 4.1 and Corollary 4.3, there exist a constant $c_* \in [\hat{\kappa}, m_0)$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying (4.8). $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), (F4) and (F5') are satisfied. Then any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying (3.6) is bounded in E .*

Proof. Given the condition (3.6), there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that (3.7) holds. To prove the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_n = u_n / \|u_n\|$. Then $1 = \|v_n\|^2$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in E . There are two possible cases: i). $\bar{v} = 0$ and ii). $\bar{v} \neq 0$.

Case 1). $\bar{v} = 0$, i.e. $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in E . Then $v_n^+ \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n^- \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $v_n^+ \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n^- \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$. From (V1), it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) (v_n^+)^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) (v_n^-)^2 dx = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

If $\delta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |v_n^+|^2 dx = 0$, then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [16] or [29, Lemma 1.21], $v_n^+ \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < s < 2^*$. Set Ω_n as (3.8). Then

(3.9) and (3.10) hold also. Combining (3.9) with (3.10) and using (2.7), (3.6) and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + o(1) &= \frac{\|u_n\|^2 - \langle \Phi(u_n), u_n^+ - u_n^- \rangle}{\|u_n\|^2} \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) [(v_n^+)^2 - (v_n^-)^2] dx + \int_{u_n \neq 0} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} [(v_n^+)^2 - (v_n^-)^2] dx \\
&\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) (v_n^+)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} (v_n^+)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_n} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{u_n} (v_n^+)^2 dx \\
&\leq 1 - \frac{\delta_0}{\Lambda} + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta > 0$.

Going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_n)} |v_n^+|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_n(x) = v_n(x + k_n)$. Since $V_0(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$. Then

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |w_n^+|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (4.14)$$

Now we define $\tilde{u}_n(x) = u_n(x + k_n)$, then $\tilde{u}_n/\|u_n\| = w_n$ and $\|w_n\| = \|v_n\| = 1$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_n \rightharpoonup w$ in E , $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $w_n \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Obviously, (4.14) implies that $w \neq 0$. Hence, it follows from (3.6), (F5') and Fatou's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c + o(1)}{\|u_n\|^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\|v_n^+\|^2 - \|v_n^-\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) v_n^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x + k_n, \tilde{u}_n)}{\tilde{u}_n^2} w_n^2 dx \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F_0(x, \tilde{u}_n)}{\tilde{u}_n^2} w_n^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_0(x, \tilde{u}_n)}{\tilde{u}_n^2} w_n^2 dx \\
&= -\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case ii). $\bar{v} \neq 0$. In this case, we can also deduce a contradiction by a standard argument.

Cases i) and ii) both show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E . □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. It is easy to see that (F5') implies (WS). Applying Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we obtain that there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying (4.8). Passing to a subsequence, we have $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in E . Next, we prove $\bar{u} \neq 0$.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\bar{u} = 0$, i.e. $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in E , and so $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $u_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$. By (V1) and (F5'), it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u_n^2 dx = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u_n v dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in E \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1(x, u_n) dx = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(x, u_n) v dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in E. \quad (4.16)$$

Note that

$$\Phi_0(u) = \Phi(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u \in E \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\langle \Phi'_0(u), v \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(x, u) v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (4.18)$$

From (4.8), (4.15)-(4.18), one can get that

$$\Phi_0(u_n) \rightarrow c_*, \quad \|\Phi'_0(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.19)$$

A standard argument shows that $\{u_n\}$ is non-vanishing sequence. Going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_n)} |u_n|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $v_n(x) = u_n(x + k_n)$. Then

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |v_n|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (4.20)$$

Since $V_0(x)$ and $f_0(x, u)$ are periodic on x , we have $\|v_n\| = \|u_n\|$ and

$$\Phi_0(v_n) \rightarrow c_*, \quad \|\Phi'_0(v_n)\|(1 + \|v_n\|) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in E , $v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $L^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Obviously, (4.20) and (4.21) imply that $\bar{v} \neq 0$ and $\Phi'_0(\bar{v}) = 0$. This shows that $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{N}^0$ and so $\Phi_0(\bar{v}) \geq m_0$. On the other hand, by using (4.21), (WN) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &> c_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\Phi_0(v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'_0(v_n), v_n \rangle \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f_0(x, v_n) v_n - F_0(x, v_n) \right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} f_0(x, v_n) v_n - F_0(x, v_n) \right] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f_0(x, \bar{v}) \bar{v} - F_0(x, \bar{v}) \right] dx \\ &= \Phi_0(\bar{v}) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'_0(\bar{v}), \bar{v} \rangle = \Phi_0(\bar{v}) \geq m_0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction implies that $\bar{u} \neq 0$. It is obvious that $\bar{u} \in E$ is a nontrivial solution for problem (1.1). $\square$

References

- [1] S. Alama and Y.Y. Li, On "Multibump bound states for certain semilinear elliptic equations, Indiana J. Math. 41 (1992), 983-1026.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973) 349-381.
- [3] T. Bartsch, Y. H. Ding, Solutions of nonlinear Dirac equations, J. Differ. Equations 226 (2006) 210-249.

- [4] T. Bartsch, Z.-Q. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 20 (1995) 1725-1741.
- [5] V. Coti Zelati, P.H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* XIV (1992) 1217-1269.
- [6] Y. Ding, *Variational Methods for Strongly Indefinite Problems*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.
- [7] Y. Ding, C. Lee, Multiple solutions of Schrödinger equations with indefinite linear part and super or asymptotically linear terms, *J. Differential Equations* 222 (2006) 137-163.
- [8] Y. Ding, S. X. Luan, Multiple solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Differential Equations* 207 (2004) 423-457.
- [9] Y. Ding, A. Szulkin, Bound states for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 29 (3) (2007) 397-419.
- [10] D. E. Edmunds, W. D. Evans, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [11] Y. Egorov, V. Kondratiev, *On Spectral Theory of Elliptic Operators*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [12] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to a semilinear Schrödinger equation. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 3 (1998) 441-472.
- [13] G.B. Li, A. Szulkin, An asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation with indefinite linear part, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 4 (2002) 763-776.
- [14] Y.Q. Li, Z.-Q. Wang, J. Zeng, Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 23 (2006) 829-837.
- [15] X. Lin, X. H. Tang, Nehari-type ground state solutions for superlinear asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014 (2014), ID 607078, 7pp.
- [16] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 2, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 1 (1984) 223-283
- [17] S. Liu, On superlinear Schrödinger equations with periodic potential, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 45 (2012), 1-9.
- [18] Z.L. Liu, Z.-Q. Wang, On the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superlinear condition, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 4 (2004) 561-572.

- [19] A. Pankov, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals, *Milan J. Math.* 73 (2005) 259-287.
- [20] P.H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 43 (1992) 270-291.
- [21] A. Szulkin, T.Weth, Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (12) (2009) 3802-3822.
- [22] X. H. Tang, Infinitely many solutions for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential and nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 401 (2013) 407-415.
- [23] X. H. Tang, New super-quadratic conditions on ground state solutions for superlinear Schrödinger equation, *Advance Nonlinear Studies*, 14 (2014) 361-373.
- [24] X. H. Tang, New conditions on nonlinearity for a periodic Schrödinger equation having zero as spectrum, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 413 (2014) 392-410.
- [25] X. H. Tang, Non-Nehari manifold method for superlinear Schrodinger equation, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 18 (2014) 1957-1979.
- [26] X.H. Tang, Non-Nehari manifold method for asymptotically linear Schrödinger equation, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 98 (2015), 104-116.
- [27] X. H. Tang, Non-Nehari manifold method for asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equations, *Sci. China Math.* 58 (2015), doi: 10.1007/s11425-000-0000-0.
- [28] C. Troestler, M. Willem, Nontrivial solution of a semilinear Schrödinger equation. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 21 (1996) 1431-1449.
- [29] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [30] M. Willem, W.M. Zou, On a Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and spectrum point zero, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 52 (2003) 109-132.
- [31] M. Yang, Ground state solutions for a periodic Schrödinger equation with superlinear nonlinearities, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (5) (2010) 2620-2627.
- [32] M. Yang, W. Chen, Y.H. Ding, Solution of a class of Hamiltonian elliptic systems in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 362 (2010), 338-349.
- [33] H. Zhang, J.X. Xu, F.B. Zhang, Ground state solutions for asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation with critical growth, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 2013 (2013), No.227, 16pp.
- [34] R. M. Zhang, J. Chen, F.K. Zhao, Multiple solutions for superlinear elliptic systems of Hamiltonian type, *Discrete. Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A* 30 (2011)1249-1262.